| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Арр | Application No. Applicant(s) | | - |
|---|----------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 10/8 | 11,640 | MUELLER ET A | .L. |
| | | miner | Art Unit | |
| | Mich | nael A. Marcheschi | 1755 | |
| All Participants: | Sta | ntus of Application: <u>r</u> | <u>new</u> | - |
| (1) <u>Michael A. Marcheschi</u> . | (| (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Edwin Oh</u> . | (| 4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 25 October 2005 | Tir | me: | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican | | epresentative) | | |
| Part I. | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none | | | | |
| Claims discussed: all | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE restriction requirement | GENERAL N | ATURE OF WHAT W | AS DISCUSSED: | |
| Part III. | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su | on. The exan | niner will provide a wr of the substance of th | itten summary of the interview, since | he substance |
| · | | | | |
| | | | | |
| / | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap | plicant/Applic | cant's Representative | Signature – if appr | opriate) |